

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www tiento gov	

APPLICATION N	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,356 02/28/2002		02/28/2002	Michiaki Sakamoto	8004-1003	5588	
466	7590	09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON	WANG, GEORGE Y			
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			2871			
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/200-	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/084,356	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
riavious riadion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Y. Wang	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a simely filed amendment which	tion. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exte	ension
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one can the mail	originally set in the final Office action	n: or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	ially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendme	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place th	ie
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	•	•	
10. Other:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	REM W. KIM	
		SUPERMISCHY MIENT EXAMI	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 17 further limits the depressed areas as "being isolated from others," which would require further search and consideration to determine patentability. As to independent claim 19, no amendment was made. However, Applicant argues that the claim was not previously fully considered and requests independent analysis from claim 17. While Examiner admits that claim 19 is slightly different and does warrant an independent analysis, Examiner asserts that claim 19 was already previously considered in the prior Office Action and each element fully accounted for. Because no amendment or specific argument was made for the claim, Examiner maintains rejection and finds Applicant's argument as not persuasive. Only upon Applicant's future response/amendment will patentability be determined.